

# ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

# 330 EDGAR STREET CONDELL PARK 2200 (REVISION 1)

**Prepared by: Colin Curtis** 

AQF 5 Arboriculture Diploma Tree Risk Assement Qualified (TRAQ) Member of Arboriculture Australia #2332 Member of the International Society of Arboriculture #228182 <u>completearbor@mail.com</u> 0413 801 557

Prepared for: Sprint (Aust) Pty Ltd

10/11/2024

COMPLETE ARBORCARE ABN: 70 628 896 856 DEE WHY. NSW. 2099 COMPLETARBORCARE.COM.AU 0413801557

# CONTENTS

330 Edgar Street Condell Park 2200 (Revision 1)0
1. INTRODUCTION
2. LEGISLATION REQUIREMENTS
3. THE SITE
4. METHOD
5. OBSERVATIONS
6. TREE RETENTION VALUES
7. CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS7
8. DOCUMENTS USED IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS REPORT7
9. RECOMMENDATIONS/CONCLUSIONS
10. LIMITATIONS ON THE USE OF THIS REPORT8
11. ASSUMPTIONS
12. REFERENCES
RELEVANT APPENDICES - APPENDIX A: GLOSSARY OF TERMS9
APPENDIX B: SIGNIFICANCE OF A TREE, ASSESSMENT RATING SYSTEM* (IACA 2010) – S.T.A.R.S. ©.10
APPENDIX C: EXAMPLE OF TREE PROTECTION FENCING & SIGNAGE

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Complete Arborcare has been commissioned by Sprint (Aust) Pty Ltd to undertake an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) regarding the proposed development upon Lot 32/-/DP564483,No.330 Edgar Street Condell Park 2200 (here after mentioned as the subject site).
- 1.2 This AIA is to be presented to Canterbury-Bankston Council (CBC) as part of the DA. It has been prepared following the guidelines provided in Australian Standard (AS) 4970-2009, Protection of Trees on Development Sites and AS 4373-2007, Pruning of Amenity Trees.

### 2. LEGISLATION REQUIREMENTS

- 2.1 The subject site is zoned IN1: General Industrial
- 2.2 State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity & Conservation) 2021 (NSW Government, 2021) has been considered in the preparation of this report. The aims of the policy are to;
  - (a) to protect the biodiversity values of trees and other vegetation in non-rural areas of the State, and
  - (b) to preserve the amenity of non-rural areas of the State through the preservation of trees and other vegetation.
- 2.3 Chapter 2.3 Tree Management (Canterbury Bankstown Development Control Plan 2023) considers a prescribed trees to be:
  - (a) all trees that are 5m or more in height; and
  - (b) all mangroves, regardless of size; and
  - (c) all trees, regardless of size, listed as Vulnerable or Endangered or a component of an Endangered Ecological Community listed under the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016; and
  - (d) all trees, regardless of size, listed under the Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999; and
  - (e) all trees, regardless of size, located on land included on the Biodiversity Map under the Canterbury-Bankstown Local Environmental Plan 2023; and
  - (f) all trees, regardless of size, located on sites listed as a heritage item in Schedule 5 of the Canterbury-Bankstown Local Environmental Plan 2023; and
  - (g) all trees, regardless of size, located in the foreshore area under the Canterbury Bankstown Local Environmental Plan 2023.

# 3. THE SITE



Figure 1: The subject site located by red marker (metromap 2024).



Figure 2: The subject site border by dotted yellow lines (NSW Planning Portal 2019).

# 4. METHOD

- 4.1 The subject site and tree/s were visually assessed from ground level on the 18<sup>th</sup> July 2024. The *Genus/ species* of the subject tree/s were recorded as well as dimensions at Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) and Diameter at Base (DAB), along with crown and canopy width. Height and age of the trees were estimated as well as the percentage of deadwood. The subject tree/s were given a Condition / Vigour rating and signs and symptoms of pests and diseases were noted (if apparent). Structural defects were looked for and comments recorded.
- 4.2 Calculations have been made using guidelines supplied in AS 4970-2009, specifically in relation to:
  - Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)
  - Structural Root Zone (SRZ)
  - Estimated Live Crown Size (ELCS)
- 4.3 The trees have been allocated a landscape significance rating of Low, Medium or High using the *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System* (STARS)© (IACA, 2010). Stars assessment criteria includes:
  - Condition and Vigour
  - Form, species specific
  - Provenance, age and botanical significance
  - Heritage and Ecological significance
  - Size, shape, and local amenity value
  - Restrictions to tree growth

Appendix B contains the assessment criteria in full.

- 4.4 The trees have been given a Useful Life Expectancy (ULE) rating, categorised as either;
  - Long 40+ years
  - Medium 15-40 years
  - Short 5-15 years
  - Consider for removal <5 years

### 5. OBSERVATIONS

- 5.1 Listed in Table 1 below are observations from the subject tree relating to:
  - Health and vigour.
  - Deadwood. An overall % has been estimated.
  - Structural defects and comments.
  - Any signs/symptoms of pest and disease attack.
  - Previous pruning or wounds.

Tree No.	Common Name Genus/species	Condition/ Vigour	Dead wood (%)	Structural Defects	Pests/Disease
1	Spotted Gum Corymbia maculata	G/G	<5	None observed	None observed
2	Spotted Gum <i>Corymbia maculata</i>	G/G	<5	None observed	None observed
3	Spotted Gum <i>Corymbia maculata</i>	G/G	<5	None observed	None observed
4	Papper Bark Melaleuca quinquenervia	F/F	≤10	None observed	Stem inclusion
5	Spotted Gum <i>Corymbia maculata</i>	G/G	<5	None observed	None observed
6	Spotted Gum Corymbia maculata	G/G	<5	None observed	None observed

Table 1: Tree Observations

- 5.2 Listed in Table 2 below are measurements from the subject tree relating to:
  - Age.
  - Tree height.
  - Lowest scaffold branch.
  - Canopy spread measured to the North, East, South and West (N,S,E,W).
  - Diameter at breast height (DBH).
  - Diameter above buttress (DAB).

Tree	Conuclenceios	٨٥٥	Height	Lowest Scaffold	Spread (m)			n)	DBH	DAB
No.	Genus/species	Age	(m)	Branch (m)	Ν	S	E	W	(cm)	(cm)
1	Corymbia maculata	М	16	2.5	6	5	5	5	70	83
2	Corymbia maculata	Μ	15	5	5	4	4	4	61	70
3	Corymbia maculata	Μ	17	4	5	5	5	5	60	69
4	Melaleuca quinquenervia	Μ	8	2.5	3	2	2	1	60	68
5	Corymbia maculata	Μ	8	3	1	1	1	1	21	24
6	Corymbia maculata	М	16	2.5	5	4	4	4	58	75

Table 2: Tree Measurements

- 5.3 Listed in Table 3 Below are calculations from the subject trees relating to:
  - Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)
  - Structural Root Zone (SRZ)
  - Estimated Live Crown Size (ELCS)

Tree No.	Genus/species	SRZ (m)	TPZ (m)	Estimated Live Crown Size (m <sup>2</sup> )	
1	Corymbia maculata	3.06	8.4	80	
2	Corymbia maculata	2.85	7.32	55	
3	Corymbia maculata	2.85	7.2	75	
4	Melaleuca quinquenervia	2.81	7.2	10	
5	Corymbia maculata	2.52	1.82	3	
6	Corymbia maculata	6.96	2.93	55	

Table 3: Tree Calculations

# 6. TREE RETENTION VALUES

- 6.1 Trees have been allocated a retention value using the priority Matrix in the *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System* (STARS)© (IACA, 2010). The Matrix uses the Landscape Significance rating combined with the Useful Life Expectancy (ULE) to determine a retention value of either;
  - Priority for Retention (High) All measures must be taken to retain and protect these trees. If the guidelines set out in AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites cannot be used to protect the trees, design modification or re-location of the proposed development should be considered.
  - Consider for Retention (Medium) Retention of these trees should remain a priority. If the
    trees are adversely affecting the proposed development and all protection measures have
    been considered but are not viable, removal can be considered.
  - Consider for Removal (Low) Retention of these trees is not important. No modification to design should be considered for their retention.
  - Priority for Removal Trees in an irreversible decline, weed species or hazardous trees. These trees should be removed.

Tree No.	Genus/species	Landscape Significance Rating	Useful Life Expectancy	Retention Value
1	Corymbia maculata	Medium	Medium	Medium
2	Corymbia maculata	Medium	Medium	Medium
3	Corymbia maculata	Medium	Medium	Medium
4	Melaleuca quinquenervia	Medium	Medium	Medium-Low
5	Corymbia maculata	Low	Medium	Medium
6	Corymbia maculata	Medium	Medium	Medium

Table 4: Tree Retention Values

# 7. CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS

7.1 Listed in table 5 below are likely impacts from the proposed construction upon the trees.

Tree No.	Proposed encroachment into TPZ and/or canopy	Likely Impacts from the proposed construction (Discussion)
1,2,4 & 6	Minor <10% TPZ encroachments. No canopy encroachments.	It has concluded that no long-term tree impact will occur from the completed works.
3	A major TPZ encroachment of 15-20%. No canopy encroachment.	Possible loss of non-woody & woody roots, resulting in a reduced ability to uptake water/air & potentially compromising tree health.
5	A major 100% TPZ encroachment.	Tree death.

Table 5: Construction Impacts

#### 8. DOCUMENTS USED IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS REPORT

8.1 Listed in Table 6 below are documents used in the preparation of this report.

Document type	Source/ Author	Title	Date	Summary
Plan	GPM	Site Plan	October	Site plan shown over the proposed
	Architecture		2024	development (DWG No:AR-DA100).
Plan	Complete	TPZ/SRZ	4/11/2024	TPZ/SRZ plan shown over the proposed
	Arborcare	Plan		development.

Table 6: Documents used in the preparation of this report

# 9. RECOMMENDATIONS/CONCLUSIONS

- 9.1 To allow for the proposed development to be undertaken, tree **T5** must be removed (subject to council approval).
- 9.2 To determine possible impact upon tree T3, non-destructive root investigation will be required under project arborist guidance to confirm impacts from the proposed crossover entry exit. If roots are discovered that can be pruned (which are to be ≤50mm Ø) pruning methods must be undertaken in accordance with Section 9 (Root Pruning) of AS 4373-2007.If roots >50mmØ are uncounted, appropriate arboricultural advise will be provided
- 9.3 To ensure that the biodiversity of the area is maintained, tree/s recommended to be removed, must be replaced. Tree/s selected for replacement plantings should be endemic species that will attain a similar height & canopy spread of those removed. These trees are to be chosen in accordance with AS 2303-2015 (Tree Stock for Landscape Use) & planting is to be undertaken by a suitably qualified AQF person/s before the issuing of a Certificate of Occupancy.
- 9.4 It is recommended that trees **T1-T4 & T6** have protection fencing installed before any of the proposed works commence. This protection must stay in place until the completion of all works & must be compliant with Section 4.3-4.4 (Protective Fencing & Signs) of AS 4970 (See Appendix C).

### 10. LIMITATIONS ON THE USE OF THIS REPORT

This report is to be utilised in its entirety only. Any written or verbal submission, report or presentation that includes statements taken from the findings, discussions, conclusions or recommendations made in this report, may only be used where the whole of the original report (or a copy) is referenced in, & directly attached to that submission, report or presentation.

# **11. ASSUMPTIONS**

Care has been taken to obtain information from reliable resources. All data has been verified insofar as possible; however, the author of this report can neither guarantee nor be responsible for the accuracy of information provided by others.

#### Unless stated otherwise:

Information contained in this report covers only the trees that were examined & reflects the condition of the trees at the time of inspection.

The inspection was limited to visual examination of the subject trees without dissection, excavation, probing or coring. There is no warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies of the subject trees may not arise in the future.

# 12. REFERENCES

IACA, 2010. IACA Significance of a Tree, Asessment Rating System (STARS), Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, Australia. [Online] Available at: www.iaca.org.au [Accessed 19<sup>th</sup> June 2015].

metromap, 2021. metromap. [Online] Available at: <u>https://web.metromap.com.au/map</u> [Accessed 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2024].

NSW Government, 2021. *State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity & Conservation)*. [Online] Available at: <u>https://legislation.nsw.gov.au/view/html/inforce/current/epi-2021-0722</u> [Accessed 23<sup>rd</sup> May 2022].

Canterbury Bankstown Development Control Plan 2023, 2023. 2.3 Tree Management. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.cbcity.nsw.gov.au/development/planning-control-policies/canterbury-bankstown-development-control-plan-2023</u> [Accessed 27<sup>th</sup> September 2023].

NSW Government, 2019. *NSW Planning Portal*. [Online] Available at: <u>https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/find-a-property</u>

Standards Australia, 2009. AS 4970-2009, Protection of trees on development sites. Sydney: Standards Australia.

# RELEVANT APPENDICES - APPENDIX A: GLOSSARY OF TERMS

**Photographs** – all images have been taken from *metromap*.

Common Name/Genus species - the common name and genus/ species of the tree.

Age Class- assessment of the trees current age.

Immature (IM) - refers to a tree at growth stages between immaturity and full size.

Semi-mature (SM) - refers to a full-sized tree with some capacity for further growth.

Mature (M)-refers to a full-sized tree with some capacity for further growth.

**Over-mature (OM)** - a mature tree has reached a near stable size (biomass) above and below the ground. Trees can have a Mature Age Class for > 90% of their life span. Over-mature (**OM**) trees show symptoms of irreversible decline and decreasing biomass.

Live Stag (LS) - refers to a tree in a significant state of decline. This is the last stage of a tree prior to death.

Height -estimated overall height of the tree.

**Diameter at Breast Height (DBH)** - the trunk diameter at breast height (in metres) of the tree, 1.4 meters above ground level.

**Diameter above the Buttress (DAB)** - refers to the tree trunk diameter measured above the root buttress and is used to calculate the radius of the SRZ.

**Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)** - is a "No Go Zone" surrounding a tree to aid in its ability to cope with disturbances associated with construction works. Tree protection involves minimising root damage that is caused by activities such as construction. Tree protection also reduces the chance of a tree's decline in health or death & the possibly damage to structural stability of the tree from root damage.

**Structural Root Zone (SRZ)** – the structural root zone is the area required for the tree's stability. A larger area is required to maintain a viable tree. The SRZ is only needed to be calculated when a major encroachment into the TPZ is proposed. There are many factors that affect the size of the SRZ (e.g. tree height, crown area, soil type, soil moisture). The SRZ may also be influenced by natural or built structures, such as rock and footings.

**Vigour** - **Good** (G), **Fair** (F) or **Poor** (P) - the general appearance of the canopy of the tree at the time of inspection. Vigour can vary with the season and rainfall frequency

**Condition** – **Excellent (E), Very Good (VG), Good (G), Fair (F), Declining (D), Poor (P),Very Poor (VP).** this refers to the tree's form & growth habit, as modified by its environment (aspect suppression by other tree/s, soils,) & the state of the scaffold (i.e. trunk & major branches), including structural defects such as cavities, crooked trunks or weak trunk/branch junctions. These are not directly connected with health & it is possible for a tree to be healthy but in poor condition/vigour.

**Deadwood** – this refers to any whole limb that no longer contains living issues (i.e. living leaves & /or bark). Some dead wood is common in a number of species.

Crown Spread - the greatest width from drip line to drip line of a branch across the trees crown.

**Epicormic Growth** - these are advantageous shoots that grow from secondary bud development. They are an indicator that the tree has/or is under stress.

Estimated Live Crown Size (ELCS) - the area of the crown as viewed from one aspect.

# APPENDIX B: SIGNIFICANCE OF A TREE, ASSESSMENT RATING SYSTEM\* (IACA 2010) – S.T.A.R.S. $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$

### Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System\* (IACA 2010) – S.T.A.R.S. ©

The landscape significance of a tree is an essential criterion to establish the importance that a particular tree may have on a site. However, rating the significance of a tree becomes subjective and difficult to ascertain in a consistent and repetitive fashion due to assessor bias. It is therefore necessary to have a rating system utilising structured qualitative criteria to assist in determining the retention value for a tree. To assist this process all definitions for terms used in the *Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria* and *Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix*, are taken from the IACA Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments 2009.

This rating system will assist in the planning processes for proposed works, above and below ground where trees are to be retained on or adjacent a development site. The system uses a scale of *High*, *Medium* and *Low* significance in the landscape. Once the landscape significance of an individual tree has been defined, the retention value can be determined. An example of its use in an Arboricultural report is shown as Appendix A.



#### Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria High Significance in landscape

- The tree is in Good condition and Good vigour;

- The tree has a form typical for the species;

- The tree is a remnant or is a planted locally indigenous specimen and/or is rare or uncommon in the local area or of botanical interest or of substantial age;

- The tree is listed as a Heritage Item, Threatened Species or part of an endangered ecological community or listed on Councils Significant Tree Register;

- The tree is visually prominent and visible from a considerable distance when viewed from most directions within the landscape due to its size and scale and makes a positive contribution to the local amenity;

- The tree supports social and cultural sentiments or spiritual associations, reflected by the broader population or community group or has commemorative values;

- The tree's growth is unrestricted by above and below ground influences, supporting its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is appropriate to the site conditions.

#### Medium Significance in landscape

- The tree is in Fair-Good condition and Good or Low vigour;

- The tree has form typical or atypical of the species;

- The tree is a planted locally indigenous or a common species with its taxa commonly planted in the local area - The tree is visible from surrounding properties, although not visually prominent as partially obstructed by other vegetation or buildings when viewed from the street,

- The tree provides a fair contribution to the visual character and amenity of the local area,

- The tree's growth is moderately restricted by above or below ground influences, reducing its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ*.

#### Low Significance in landscape

- The tree is in fair-poor condition and good or low vigour;

- The tree has form atypical of the species;

- The tree is not visible or is partly visible from surrounding properties as obstructed by other vegetation or buildings,

- The tree provides a minor contribution or has a negative impact on the visual character and amenity of the local area,

- The tree is a young specimen which may or may not have reached dimension to be protected by local Tree Preservation orders or similar protection mechanisms and can easily be replaced with a suitable specimen,

- The tree's growth is severely restricted by above or below ground influences, unlikely to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is inappropriate to the site conditions,

- The tree is listed as exempt under the provisions of the local Council Tree Preservation Order or similar protection mechanisms,

- The tree has a wound or defect that has potential to become structurally unsound.

#### **Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species**

- The tree is an Environmental Pest Species due to its invasiveness or poisonous/ allergenic properties,

- The tree is a declared noxious weed by legislation.

#### Hazardous/Irreversible Decline

- The tree is structurally unsound and/or unstable and is considered potentially dangerous,

- The tree is dead, or is in irreversible decline, or has the potential to fail or collapse in full or part in the immediate to short term.

#### The tree is to have a minimum of three (3) criteria in a category to be classified in that group.

Note: The assessment criteria are for individual trees only, however, can be applied to a monoculture stand in its entirety e.g. hedge.

Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA 2010), IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS), www.iaca.org.au



#### Table 1.0 Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix.

**USE OF THIS DOCUMENTAND REFERENCING** The IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS) is free to use, but only in its entirety and must be cited as follows', 2010, *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, Australia, www.iaca.org.au

**REFERENCES** Australia ICOMOS Inc. 1999, *The Burra Charter –The Australian ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance*, International Council of Monuments and Sites, www.icomos.org/australia Draper BD and Richards PA 2009, *Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists(IACA), CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia. Footprint Green Pty Ltd2001, *Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix*, Avalon, NSW Australia, <u>www.footprintgreen.com.au</u>IACA 2010, *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, <u>www.iaca.org.au</u>

APPENDIX C: EXAMPLE OF TREE PROTECTION FENCING & SIGNAGE







PM	date	Oct	2024		PD2
P24.20	)3.140scale	1:200 1:100	@ A3 @ A1dr	issue awing no <b>A</b>	
		i.	SPRIN	Г ( Aust)	PTY LTD
r AL:	Р	ROP	OSED	INDUST	RIAL UNITS
r S:	330 EDGAR STREET, CONDELL PARM NSW				
	3m	5m			d'a
gpm archite	ecture any omission	, discrepa	ancy or anythin	ng that might lea	d to a result other than
	P24.20 - AL: - S: g is copyrig	P24.203.140scale <sup>7</sup>	1:200 224.203.140scale1:100 24.203.140scale1:100 AL: PROP 330 EDGAR S: 3m 5m 1is copylight. do not scale drawing. wo gen architecture any omission, discrept	1:200 @ A3 224.203.140scale1:100 @ A1dr SPRIN AL: PROPOSED 330 EDGAR STREE 330 EDGAR STREE	1:200 @ A3         issue           1:200 @ A3         Superior Contracting the state of the st